UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Every gene can (and possibly will) be associated with cancer

De Magalhães, João Pedro

DOI:

10.1016/j.tig.2021.09.005

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

De Magalhães, JP 2022, 'Every gene can (and possibly will) be associated with cancer', *Trends in Genetics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 216-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2021.09.005

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 08. יאמ. 2023

Every gene can (and possibly will) be associated with cancer

João Pedro de Magalhães¹

¹Integrative Genomics of Ageing Group, Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease, University of

Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Phone: +44 151 7954517

*Correspondence: jp@senescence.info

Abstract

A PubMed analysis shows that the vast majority of human genes have been studied in the context

of cancer. As such, the study of nearly any human gene can be justified based on existing

literature by its potential relevance to cancer. Moreover, these results have implications for

analyzing and interpreting large-scale analyses.

Key words: genetics, network biology, oncology, research, science

Cancer as the most studied biomedical topic

Cancer is one of the most common diseases of modern times. In industrialized countries,

cancer affects roughly 1 in 2 people at some point during their lives [1], and cancer incidence

and mortality is expected to continue increasing given ageing populations worldwide [2]. Not

surprisingly cancer attracts a huge amount of research funding from government, private and

philanthropic sources [3]. At the time of writing, over 4 million of the over 30 million

publications in PubMed mention cancer. For comparison, roughly 350,000 publications mention

stroke. As of 2020, over 200,000 papers are published each year mentioning cancer (Figure 1).

Compared to other common diseases, like heart or neurodegenerative diseases, cancer is also

1

seemingly more straightforward to study given the wide availability of materials, like cell lines. In other words, the experimental methods necessary to study cancer seem to have lower technical limitations compared to many other disease scenarios. As such, cancer is the most widely studied topic in biological and biomedical sciences. However, the huge amount of data gathered concerning cancer means that there is much more information concerning genes associated with cancer than for any other disease or process. Human biases in the way genes are studied can confound systematic analyses, such as network analyses [4, 5]. Here, I explore the extent of such biases in cancer associations and their implications.

An analysis of cancer-related publications

Of the 17,371 human genes with at least one paper in PubMed, 15,233 (87.7%) also have at least one paper mentioning cancer (see [6] for methods). Only 3 (*SLC26A5*, *PRPH2* and *CRYZ*) out of 4,186 genes with over 100 publications do not have a publication mentioning cancer. Interestingly, these three genes play specific roles in the eye and hair cells, tissues that are not common sources of cancer. Likewise, genes with at least one paper in PubMed but no paper mentioning cancer (n = 2,138 genes, out of 17,371 genes in total) are enriched for olfactory receptors and antimicrobial defenses (see Supplementary Material); these are processes that, even though some olfactory receptors can be differentially expressed in cancer [7], are not commonly associated with cancer. An incredible 24.4% of all publications associated with genes in PubMed mention cancer (Figure 2). While co-occurrence of a gene and cancer in a publication is not by itself evidence of a causal association, these numbers notwithstanding illustrate the huge amount of studies relating the vast majority of human genes to cancer.

Implications for interpreting large-scale studies

In light of these results, clearly the vast majority of genes that have been studied have also been studied in the context of cancer. The study of nearly any human gene can be justified (e.g., in grant applications) based on existing literature by its potential relevance to cancer. When interpreting results from genome-wide studies and high-throughput approaches, that typically yield large gene lists, it is very likely to find associations with cancer (>99% chance for results

with three or more genes). Cancer publication biases can also impact on network analyses, such as protein-protein interactions, that are influenced by the number of studies of each protein [6]. Understanding the reasons for biases in large-scale analyses and correcting for them is of growing importance to increase the value of insights and predictions [4, 5, 8].

In conclusion, researchers should be aware of the strong biases towards the study of genes in the context of cancer when discussing their results and interpreting the works of others. In genetics and genomics literally everything is associated with cancer. If a gene has not been associated with cancer yet, it probably means it has not been studied enough and will most likely be associated with cancer in the future. In a scientific world where everything can be associated with cancer, the challenge is determining which are the key drivers of cancer and more promising therapeutic targets.

Acknowledgements

Work in my lab is supported by grants from the Wellcome Trust (208375/Z/17/Z), LongeCity and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/R014949/1). I am also grateful to Ines Lopes for assistance with retrieving data from PubMed and making the figures.

References

- 1 Ahmad, A.S., *et al.* (2015) Trends in the lifetime risk of developing cancer in Great Britain: comparison of risk for those born from 1930 to 1960. *Br J Cancer* 112, 943-947
- 2 de Magalhaes, J.P. (2013) How ageing processes influence cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 13, 357-365
- 3 Schmutz, A., et al. (2019) Mapping the Global Cancer Research Funding Landscape. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* 3, pkz069
- 4 Fernandes, M., et al. (2016) Systematic analysis of the gerontome reveals links between aging and age-related diseases. *Hum Mol Genet* 25, 4804-4818
- 5 Schaefer, M.H., *et al.* (2015) Correcting for the study bias associated with protein-protein interaction measurements reveals differences between protein degree distributions from different cancer types. *Front Genet* 6, 260
- 6 Lopes, I., et al. (2021) Gene Size Matters: An Analysis of Gene Length in the Human Genome. Front Genet 12, 559998
- 7 Weber, L., *et al.* (2018) Olfactory Receptors as Biomarkers in Human Breast Carcinoma Tissues. *Front Oncol* 8, 33

8 Stoeger, T., *et al.* (2018) Large-scale investigation of the reasons why potentially important genes are ignored. *PLoS Biol* 16, e2006643

Figure legends

<u>Figure 1:</u> Publications per year mentioning cancer, according to PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Stroke is shown for comparison purposes.

Figure 2: Percentage of publications per gene mentioning cancer, according to PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Genes with 10 or more publications (n = 11,866) are ranked from lowest to highest percentage of publications mentioning cancer.